

Potential for miniPEMS to identify high emitters via advanced inspection and maintenance methods

Daisy Thomas, Ph.D.
Gurdas S. Sandhu, Ph.D.

2023 OSAR Conference
March 31, 2023





Outline

slide #

4

Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) Background

5

Overview of Enhanced PTI Pilot

12

Characteristics of Test Fleet

15

Results *(details in next slide)*

31

Conclusions and Future Work





List of Results

slide #

15

Investigation of different test types

20

Investigation of high emitters

25

Investigation of vehicle and engine conditioning

29

Investigation of OBD parameter availability





Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) Background

- European Union methods of inspection and maintenance, Periodic Technical Inspection (PTI) of exhaust emissions are out of date (No PN, no NO_x)
- This is progressing – PN is included in some member state PTI regulations and on 20 March 2023 EU published a recommendation¹ outlining guidelines around PTI PN measurement to aid harmonization
- Many groups are working to strengthen the EU PTI legislation (including incorporating a NO_x test)

¹ https://transport.ec.europa.eu/system/files/2023-03/C_2023_1796.pdf






Enhanced PTI Test Pilot – Opus Sweden






Aims and Objectives of the PTI Pilot Test Campaign

- PTI format:



- Vehicle pollutant trends compared to:



- Identification of high emitters
- Investigation of thresholds for pass/fail at PTI

3datx.com/ptipilot/
full presentation and
time-series charts for
each vehicle



Objectives covered in this presentation

- PTI format:

Engine
Conditioning

Repeatability

NO_x
Protocols

Minimize
Test Time

- Vehicle pollutant trends compared to:

Vehicle Euro
Standard

Model type
approval results

Vehicle
properties

Vehicle PTI
Results

- **Identification of high emitters**
- Investigation of thresholds for pass/fail at PTI

[3datx.com/ptipilot/](https://www.3datx.com/ptipilot/)
full presentation and
time-series charts for
each vehicle



Test Site – Borås, Sweden

- Tests were conducted at the Borås Opus Bilprovning PTI Test Centre



parSYNC iPEMS


Test Vehicle



parSYNC warmup and zeroing while vehicle is being prepared



OBD Logger



Tailpipe Sampling Probe



Device used - The parSYNC iPEMS

➤ Lightweight & Easy To Use

- Total System Weight: 6.7 kg (22.1 lb)
 - parSYNC[®] Weight: 4.1 kg (13.7 lb)
 - CUBE[™] Weight (with one battery): 2.6 kg (8.4 lb)

➤ Battery Life

- 4-5 hours typically

➤ GasMOD[™] Sensor Cartridge

- Electrochemical: NO (0-5000ppm) & NO₂ (0-300ppm)
- NDIR: CO₂ (0-20%), CO (0-15%)

➤ Particulates Sensor Cartridge

- PN/PM (10 to 10,000nm = 0.01 to 10µm)



HEM Data OBD Mini Logger recorded parameters including: vehicle speed, engine speed, lambda, MAF, engine coolant temperature, catalyst temperatures, engine load, and EGR rate info.



<https://hemdata.com/products/dawn/obd-mini-logger/>

The new parSYNC **FLEX** iPEMS

Gases – CO, CO₂, NO, NO₂ + **HC and O₂**

Particulates - Ionization, Scattering, and Opacity, **with advanced temperature control**

Diffusion charging-based particle number counter coming soon, to meet PTI requirements

Enhanced chiller and volatile particle removal

Hot-swap Milwaukee Li-Ion batteries for full-day of testing

Onboard display and data storage + WiFi Access-point

Full CAN + support for external sensors

Integrated GPS and Ambient Pressure, Temperature, Humidity

Integrated wireless OBD reader for LD and HD


... and still light-weight (11 kg) and installs in minutes





Test Protocol – Extra 5 Minutes onto PTI

Bag No.	parSYNC Location	Description
0	Bench	Sample clean air while parSYNC is on the bench.
Zeroing	Bench	Zero the parSYNC. Idle the vehicle.
0	Vehicle	Move parSYNC to vehicle. Sample exhaust gas for ~10 seconds.
1	Vehicle	Idle protocol – 60 seconds of idle – conducted while car is at garage
2	Vehicle	Drive to emissions shed
3	Vehicle	High Idle – Follow standard PTI protocol for gasoline and diesel vehicles*
4	Vehicle	Drive to NOx Acceleration test start point
5	Vehicle	Acceleration – <i>Idle for 10 seconds</i> , then accelerate quickly to 30 kph, then brake normally (not hard) to a complete stop, <i>idle for 10 seconds</i>
6	Vehicle	Drive back to garage.
7	Vehicle	Idle protocol – 60 seconds of idle
8	Bench	Disconnect parSYNC. Sample clean air for at least 60 seconds.
Zeroing	Bench	Zero the parSYNC.



* First 106 vehicles all followed PTI protocol for gasoline on high idle test, i.e. constant high idle, rather than diesels following a rapid high idle





Characteristics of the Test Fleet

Age, Mileage, Engine Size, Fuel, Euro Std







Fleet Composition – Vehicle and Engine Information

606 passenger vehicles underwent enhanced PTI testing at the Borås Opus Bilprovning PTI Test Centre during January 2021 – June 2022


Histogram of Vehicle Model Age



Histogram of Vehicle Odometer Reading




Histogram of Vehicle Engine Sizes






Fleet Composition – Fuel Types and Emission Standards

Fuel Type of Vehicles



Emissions Standards of Vehicles



EURO	MY*
1	1993
2	1997
3	2001
4	2006
5	2011
6	2015

* First registration

25 vehicles were of pre-Euro or unknown Euro standard



Investigation of Different Test Types for I/M or PTI Testing









Diesel NOx Emissions – Concentration vs Mass

EmStd

- EURO-4
- EURO-5
- EURO-6




Mean



➤ **NOx (ppm) \propto NOx (mass): strong and positive (all test types)**

➤ Mean value stronger on the tests

Max






Petrol NOx Emissions – Concentration vs Mass

- **NOx (ppm) ∝ NOx (mass)... strong +ve**
- Mean values give stronger correlation than mass
- **Idle test gives highest correlation** (high idle and acceleration tests are similar)

Idle Constant High Idle Acceleration





Intra-Vehicle Test Repeatability

- The coefficients of variation (COV) for different test characteristics was calculated from triplicated data (106 vehicles) for each test type

Quantity	Idle Test	Constant High Idle Test	Acceleration Test
Average NOx (ppm)	19%	21%	33%
NOx (mg)	26%	26%	44%
Average Engine RPM	0.24%	3.3%	11%
Average Load (%)	4.7%	6.4%	17%
Average Commanded EGR (%)	45%	34%	23%

$$COV = \frac{\text{Standard Deviation}}{\text{Mean}}$$

Quantity	Acceleration Test
Average VSP_pos (kW/tonne)	29%
Maximum VSP_pos (kW/tonne)	24%
va _{pos} [95] (m ² /s ³)	27%
RPA (m/s ²)	20%

- The COV for these three test types are acceptable
- Acceleration test has most variation, then high idle, *except for* EGR
- EGR needs to be more carefully controlled during testing

RPM—revolutions per minute; EGR—exhaust gas recirculation; VSP—vehicle-specific power;
va_{pos} 95—95th percentile of the product of velocity and positive acceleration; RPA—relative positive acceleration.





Inter-Vehicle Test Variability

- The coefficients of variation (COV) for different test characteristics was calculated from vehicle data (all vehicles) for each test type

Quantity	Idle Test	Constant High Idle Test	Rapid High Idle Test	Acceleration Test
Average NOx (ppm)	168%	275%	168%	133%
NOx (mg)	122%	148%	104%	116%
Average Engine RPM	9%	18%	17%	16%
Average Load (%)	41%	49%	59%	41%
Average Commanded EGR (%)	81%	179%	127%	73%

$$COV = \frac{\text{Standard Deviation}}{\text{Mean}}$$

Quantity	Acceleration Test
Average VSP_pos (kW/tonne)	19.99%
Maximum VSP_pos (kW/tonne)	12.19%
va _{pos} [95] (m ² /s ³)	12.56%
RPA (m/s ²)	21.85%

- The coefficients of variation of NOx are much greater than engine RPM, Load and EGR – the tests are highlighting differences in NOx performance between vehicles





Identification of High Emitters using the Enhanced PTI protocol





(1) Illegal Modification

A vehicle was brought to the garage with an illegal KCR box identified.

Make	Model	Model Year	Odometer (km)	Engine Size (L)	Fuel Type	Engine Power (kW)	Euro#
VOLVO	XC70	2013	86K	2.4	Diesel	133	EURO-5

Bilens bästa tillbehör
Sveriges mest sålda effektboxar

KCR DIESEL
- power -
www.kcr.se

25 ARS JUBILEUM CELEBRATION

How strong will your engine be?

Diesel Petrol Hybrid Electric car


Press and select brand to search





(1) Illegal Modification

This vehicle had the highest NO₂ (on all tests) compared to other 2.4L Diesel Euro 5 Volvos tested in 2021, while overall NO_x was only high in acceleration test (and CO was insignificant).






(1) Illegal Modification

This vehicle then had the greatest PN – on high idle and acceleration tests only – compared to other 2.4L Diesel Euro 5 Volvos tested in 2021

Does this indicate an idle PN test is insufficient to catch some high emitters?

The vehicle passed its official PTI smoke opacity test (0.14 result vs 1.5 limit)








(2) High NO_x emitter

Vehicle with high NO emissions on High Idle and Acceleration tests. The vehicle passed its official PTI test, with low CO concs on all tests.

Make	Model	Model Year	Odometer (km)	Engine Size (L)	Fuel Type	Engine Power (kW)	Euro#
SKODA	SUPERB	2011	179	1.4	Petrol	92	EURO-6

Fact vehicle is not high-emitting on the Idle test indicates a loaded test required for NO_x (Acceleration test best).





Investigation of Temperature Conditioning for NO_x








Does **NO_x** change with changing Engine **Coolant Temp**?²⁶

Euro-4




Euro-5

Euro-6

Diesel



Petrol



Change in NO_x concentration vs change in engine coolant temperature, between **Idle 1 and 2** tests

NO_x concentrations on an *idle* test do not appear to be greatly affected by changes in the engine coolant temperature.

Note: No trend was seen between absolute engine coolant temperature and NO_x between vehicles, either.








Does NO_x change with changing Catalyst Temp?

Euro-4




Euro-5

Euro-6

Diesel



Petrol



Change in NO_x conc. vs change in catalyst temp. between Idle sections 1 and 2 (i.e. start and end of protocol).

Idle NO_x seems unaffected by catalyst temperature changes (similar for absolute acceleration test values)

BUT diesel catalyst temp does not always seem correct – true aftertreatment temperature should be provided by OEMs

- Causes of large differences in NO_x:*
1. Changes in EGR rate;
 2. NO₂ constantly increasing from SCR diesels
 3. Some petrol vehs had very high NO on startup (i.e. PN₁)



Investigation of ECU Parameter Availability for I/M or PTI Testing






Investigation of OBD Parameter Availability

Parameters with low reportability →

Petrol MAF

EGR (esp. petrol) and λ

Cat. Temp.





Conclusions





Summary

- Concentration values are a good representation of mass emissions on *all* test types, with mean concentration values being better than maximum
- Repeatability of the test types is acceptable but EGR on idle tests should be controlled
- Variability study of all vehicles shows that NO_x differences are being highlighted by the tests
- Only loaded testing can identify some of the high emitters
- No trend of emissions with engine coolant, catalyst or ambient temperature seen *but* data capture was incomplete or suspect quality
- Requirement of additional sensors to capture some relevant parameters in current vehicles as OBD system not accessible enough, particularly catalyst temperature indicators and EGR rate information





Thank you for listening

3DATX Contact Info

See *Atmosphere* journal article for more info
– <https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4433/14/3/536>

USA



info@3datx.com



+1.844.303.3289



Gurdas S. Sandhu, Ph.D.

gurdas@3datx.com

EU



info@3datx.eu



+32.2.639.39.39



Daisy Thomas, Ph.D.

daisythomas@3datx.com

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Opus Sweden, specifically Thomas Nilsson and Stefan Bjurkvist, for their participation in this PTI trial.

Further Info

Full study and time-series graphs of ALL tested vehicles:

<https://3datx.com/ptipilot/>





Additional Slides








Does **NO_x** change with Engine **Coolant Temp**?

Euro-4




Euro-5

Euro-6

Diesel



Petrol



NO_x concentration vs engine coolant temperature, on Acceleration test


- Does not appear to be greatly affected by the engine coolant temperature.




Does **NO_x** change with **Catalyst Temperature**?

Diesel


Euro-4






Euro-5



Euro-6



Petrol



NO_x conc. vs catalyst temp. on Acceleration Test

➤ Acceleration Test NO_x seems unaffected by catalyst temperature

BUT the diesel catalyst temperature does not always seem correct/meaningful – true aftertreatment temperature should be provided by OEMs






Does **NO_x** trend with **Ambient Temperature**?

Euro-4




Euro-5

Euro-6

Diesel



Petrol



Mean NO_x concentration vs mean ambient temperature (from ECU), for the Acceleration test.

No correlations seen. Acceleration Test NO_x is not greatly affected by ambient temperature.

Note: This ambient temperature does not always seem correct when considering the Swedish climate – true ambient temperature should be provided by OEMs. We will use weather station data for further analysis.